

#### In The Matter Of

La Union Del Pueblo Entero, et al.,

**Plaintiffs** 

ν

State Of Texas, et al.,

**Defendants** 

CASE

5:21-cv-844

Date

4-28-2022

Witness

Brian Keith Ingram, J.D.

Certified Copy Transcript

National Court Reporters Inc. · 888.800.9656 · NationalCourtReporters.com NCRNetwork@nationalcourtreporters.com

Serving Legal Professionals From Coast To Coast and Internationally

## Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Brian Keith Ingram JD 1

1

FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION  LA UNION DEL PUEBLO § ENTERO, ET AL., § Plaintiffs, § Civil Action No. § 5:21-cv-844 (XR)  VS. § (Consolidated Cases)  STATE OF TEXAS, ET AL. § Defendants. § ************************************	
ENTERO, ET AL., Plaintiffs, S Civil Action No. S 5:21-cv-844 (XR) VS. S (Consolidated Cases)  STATE OF TEXAS, ET AL. Defendants. S ************************************	
Plaintiffs, § Civil Action No. § 5:21-cv-844 (XR) § (Consolidated Cases)  STATE OF TEXAS, ET AL. § Defendants. § ************************************	
STATE OF TEXAS, ET AL. § Defendants. § ************************************	
7 ************************************	
ORAL DEPOSITION OF BRIAN KEITH INGRAM, J.D. CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE TEXAS SECRETARY OF STATE OFFICE APRIL 28, 2022  *********************************	
BRIAN KEITH INGRAM, J.D.  CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE TEXAS SECRETARY OF STATE OFFICE APRIL 28, 2022  *********************************	
CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE TEXAS SECRETARY OF STATE OFFICE APRIL 28, 2022  *********************************	
10 APRIL 28, 2022 11 ***********************************	
ORAL DEPOSITION OF BRIAN KEITH INGRAM, J.D.,	
13 CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE TEXAS SECRETARY OF	
STATE OFFICE produced as a witness at the instance of	
the Plaintiffs and Plaintiff-Intervenors, and duly	
sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause	
on the 28th day of April 2022, from 9:03 a.m. to	
3:18 p.m., before Caroline Chapman, CSR in and for the	
State of Texas, reported by Computerized Stenotype	
Machine, Computer-Assisted Transcription, held at the	
rice Daniel Sr State Office Building, 209 West 14th	
Street, Austin, Texas, and via web-based conference	
pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.	
24	
25	

Entero v Texas 5:21-cv-844 (XR)

## Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Brian Keith Ingram JD 147

147

1	MS. HUNKER: Objection. Asked and
2	answered.
3	A. No.
4	Q. Are you aware of any investigation into illegal
5	voting, election fraud, or other criminal activity in
6	connection with voting with the use of an assister in
7	Texas?
8	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. How many instances are you aware of?
11	A. Well, most of the complaints that come to our
12	office that we refer to the Attorney General have to do
13	with the mail voting process and a lot of those are
14	charges of illegal assistance, so I don't know precisely
15	how many and I can't talk about particulars because they
16	are over with the Attorney General and they are not
17	public record under 31.006, but it would I would say
18	at least 10 or 15 illegal assistance complaints have
19	been referred over there, maybe only 10, maybe 11, I
20	don't know. It is somewhere in that neighborhood. And
21	then, of course, we have had a few complaints along the
22	way in the last 10 years of persons who complain that
23	the assistance that was given in-person was illegal.
24	Q. And so you say at least or somewhere between 10
25	and 15 that you have referred to the Attorney General's

## Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

**Brian Keith Ingram JD 148** 

148

1	Office or your office has referred to the AG's	
2	Office; is that correct?	
3	A. Right. In the last couple years. This is not	
4	just in one election.	
5	Q. And when say, "over the last couple of years,"	
6	can you give me a more precise time frame?	
7	A. Well, I don't know. I would have to go look at	
8	the complaint log. But I would say at least since 2020,	
9	election, general election in 2020 that we have had	
10	probably a good 10 or 15.	
11	Q. And so it is your testimony that, since	
12	approximately 2020 through present, your office is	
13	referred approximately between 10 and 15 complaints	
14	about unlawful assistance with vote by mail to the	
15	Attorney General's Office for further investigation; is	
16	that right?	
17	A. I agree that that's the number that I have got	
18	in my head. I would have to look at the complaint log	
19	and confirm it.	
20	Q. And so you use the term "complaint log," can	
21	you tell me what that is?	
22	A. It is a log of complaints that we get, election	
23	log complaints.	
24	Q. And is that a formal written document that your	
25	office keeps?	

## Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

**Brian Keith Ingram JD 149** 

149

1	Α.	Yes.
2	Q.	Is that a document that, to your knowledge, has
3	been p	produced to the plaintiffs in discovery in this
4	case?	
5	A.	I would be surprised if it had. It has
6	confid	dential information in it, but it might.
7	Q.	So if I were to use the term "complaint log"
8	would	that have a specific meaning to the folks in your
9	office?	
10	A.	It would.
11	Q.	And is there only one complaint log that is
12	kept b	y your office?
13	A.	Should be.
14	Q.	How is that document updated?
15	Α.	By my assistant when the complaints come in.
16	Q.	And so walk me through that process if you
17	would	, please.
18	Α.	A complaint comes in, it is recorded in the
19	complaint log, it is scanned and emailed to me for	
20	revie	W.
21	Q.	And do you review every complaint that does
22	come	in?
23	Α.	I do.
24	Q.	What happens after you conduct that review?
25	A.	Either a disposition letter will be put

Entero v Texas 5:21-cv-844 (XR)

149 Brian Keith Ingram JD

## Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Brian Keith Ingram JD 150

150

1	together by my assistant and mailed to the complainant
2	or it will be assigned to an attorney for either
3	referral to the Attorney General or for a letter back to
4	the complainant.
5	Q. Who makes the decision, the ultimate decision
6	about whether it is issued a whether a disposition
7	letter is issued or if it is referred it an attorney for
8	further follow-up?
9	A. Me.
10	Q. Anybody else?
11	A. No.
12	Q. What type of information would appear on the
13	complaint log for each complaint that is sent in to your
14	office?
15	A. I am not sure. I haven't ever seen the
16	document myself, so I don't know all of the boxes that
17	it has, but it will have generally, it will have the
18	date the complaint was received, the nat the broad
19	general nature description of the complaint. The person
20	bringing the complaint and I think usually the person
21	complained of. It will also have
22	Q. Any others?
23	A a column for attorney assigned and then
24	disposition.
25	Q. Thank you. And forgive me, please, for

## Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Brian Keith Ingram JD 151

151

1	speaking over you.
2	Any other information that you could think
3	of that might be captured on that document?
4	A. Like I said, I don't know. I haven't seen the
5	document myself. I think those are the categories.
6	Q. Having never seen the document, do you have any
7	information about whether it is in fact complete with
8	every complaint that has come in or not?
9	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
10	A. I believe it to be complete at least for the
11	last several years. It has in the past been the
12	responsibility of the legal paralegal, legal
13	assistant to keep up with that document. Our legal
14	assistant recently left us and so it's my assistant
15	
16	has been doing it. And I am pretty confident that the
	legal assistants that we have had in the last four or
17	five years have done a good job of documenting every
18	complaint, so that's what I would say about that.
19	Q. And so sitting here today, you have reason to
20	believe that if a complaint is submitted to your office
21	about some unlawful voting activity, the that
22	complaint has been recorded on the complaint log and a
23	scan of it has been saved to your system; is that fair?
24	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
25	A. I believe so.

4/28/2022

## Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

**Brian Keith Ingram JD 152** 

152

Q. Ms. Hunker, a this point, I do believe the
witness testified he would be surprised if it were
produced in discovery. I will make a formal request
that we do obtain a copy of that and your office try to
produce it to us. I recognize that there might be,
based on the witness' testimony, some material that will
require redaction. But we, obviously, take no position
until we have seen it. But if you have to produce it in
a redacted form of the privilege log, please do so.
MS. HUNKER: I will note your request and
do inquiries into the matter. However, to the extent
that it has confidential information, particularly, if
that information cannot be redacted without comprising
the document or can't be redacted at all, we would be
alleging privilege.
MR. KANTERMAN: Noted and certainly happy
to follow-up after the deposition. I certainly
appreciate the courtesy of taking a look though. Thank
you.
Q. (By Mr. Kanterman) Mr. Ingram, moving on to my
next lines of questions if we could.
Did you or your office have any
communications with the Texas Governor and/or the Office
of the Texas Governor regarding any incidents of illegal
voting?

4/28/2022

## Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Brian Keith Ingram JD 154

**154** 

1	for the other candidate by some margin. But because of	
2	the overwhelming number of mail ballots that went	
3	overwhelmingly for the one candidate, he ended up	
4	winning the race by a few votes, and that has been the	
5	subject of investigation by the Attorney General and it	
6	has been the subject of indictments by the Attorney	
7	General.	
8	Q. And do you have any recollection sitting here	
9	today the particulars of the conversations you had with	
10	the Governor's office about that situation?	
11	A. Just what we are talking about here today that	
12	it happened and it was investigated.	
13	Q. You mentioned you had thousands of hours of	
14	communications with the Governor's office over your	
15	the course of your time with the Secretary of State's	
16	Office; is that right?	
17	A. That's right.	
18	Q. Are those conversations generally in written	
19	form in some other form?	
20	A. No. We talk.	
21	Q. When you say "talk," are those in-person	
22	communications, telephone communications or something	
23	else?	
24	A. Both. Mostly on the phone but we do talk	
25	in-person.	

Entero v Texas 5:21-cv-844 (XR) 4/28/2022

154 Brian Keith Ingram JD

# Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Brian Keith Ingram JD 155

155

Q. Did you have any additional communications with
the Texas Governor or his office about violations of
Texas election laws besides those we have already
discussed?
MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. Asked and
answered.
A. Yeah. Again, I I can't remember any
specific conversations, but we talk a lot and we talk
about violations of the law a lot. We talk about all
kinds of things.
Q. Have you had any communications with the Texas
Attorney General or his office regarding incident of or
investigation into illegal voting in the State of Texas?
MS. HUNKER: I am going to object to the
extent this calls for investigative privilege and
instruct my witness not to reveal any information
concerning complaints that have been referred to the OAG
but have yet to be resolved through a final matter.
A. Yes. I talk to the Attorney General's
investigative team on a regular basis.
Q. And, sir, I am not asking you for specifics of
any individual circumstances at the moment, generally,
what are those conversations about?
MS. HUNKER: Same objection with the same
advice that my witness not communicate anything specific

#### Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

**Brian Keith Ingram JD 156** 

156

1	with respect to a complaint that has been referred to
2	the Attorney General but has yet to be resolved through
3	every conviction or dismissal.
4	A. Yeah. We talk about a lot of stuff. I mean,
5	we talk about complaints that we have sent over. We
6	talk about the language of the statute and what we think
7	it means versus what they think it means. We talk about
8	bills that get filed in the legislative process, so I
9	mean, we talk to them about a variety of things.
10	Q. But two of those items that you just mentioned
11	I wanted to discuss a little bit further. If I heard
12	you correctly, first, that you discussed with the
13	Attorney General's Office your interpretation and their

#### A. That's right.

Q. Have you discussed with the Attorney General's Office your interpretation and their interpretation of provisions of SB 1.

interpretation of certain provisions of the law; is that

MS. HUNKER: Objection to the extent it calls for investigative privilege as well as attorney-client privilege, specifically where the Secretary of State's Office is requesting advice from the Attorney General, I would advise my client to refrain from any of those type of communications.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

right?

#### Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Brian Keith Ingram JD 157

**157** 

1	A. No.	
2	Q. Sir, when you are discussing when you are	
3	having these conversations with the Attorney General's	
4	Office that you mentioned, those in which they are	
5	seeking your input on the interpretation of statutory	
6	language and you are discussing their interpretation,	
7	are you doing so in furtherance of seeking their legal	
8	advice?	
9	A. No. The one instance I can think of, it was	
10	them explaining to me their interpretation of the Penal	
11	Code 36.02 so that I would quit sending them complaints	
12	that they didn't think warranted investigation.	
13	Q. And in your view was that conversation in	
14	furtherance of seeking or receiving legal advice from	
15	them?	
16	A. No.	
17	Q. Let's talk about let's talk about the scope	
18	of that conversation then. And can you repeat for me,	
19	please, the code section you just referred to, 38?	

A. 36.02 of the Penal Code.

- Q. And was it just a single conversation that you can recall at the Attorney General's Office in which you discuss their interpretation of Section 36.02 of the Penal Code?
  - A. That's right.

Page: 157

20

21

22

23

24

25

# Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

**Brian Keith Ingram JD 158** 

158

1	Q.	And when was that conversation?
2	A.	Several years ago.
3	Q.	Do you have a more specific approximation of a
4	date?	
5	A.	No.
6	Q.	Would it be before or after 2020?
7	A.	It would be before '20. Several years ago, it
8	was b	efore '20.
9	Q.	Do you think it was before 2018?
10	A.	Don't know.
11	Q.	Was this a conversation you had in-person, over
12	the te	ephone, by email or some other through some
13	other	media?
14	A.	It was a phone call.
15	Q.	And approximately how long did that phone call
16	last?	
17	A.	Five, 10 minutes.
18	Q.	And who attended that phone call, if you
19	recall?	
20	A.	Jonathan White and myself.
21		THE REPORTER: And excuse me, we had
22	some	one come in the room.
23	Q.	Anybody else?
24		THE REPORTER: Excuse me just a moment,
25	Mr. Ka	interman. May I get your name?

#### Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Brian Keith Ingram JD 160

Q. And tell me what it was, please.

A. So 36.02 is the anti-bribery statute, and it says that -- that the crime consists of giving anything of value to, in part, there is a lot of categories, but one of the categories is a voter for that -- that you anticipate influencing the voter's discretion in any way, right. So to us at the Secretary of State's Office, that means the decision whether to vote or not is part of the discretion of a voter. And if somebody gives, you know, like Free Blue Jean Day on Friday, if we all have 100 percent turn out and vote, that to us would be a violation of 36.02 because you're influencing the discretion of a voter to turn out to actually vote or not.

Jonathan says that the way that they -- or said that the way that they interpret that statute is, it has to be influencing the voter's discretion in the booth in a particular way, so to vote for or against a measure, for or against a candidate, and that the meer enticement of voting by an offer of a free beer with your out voted sticker, or whatever, is not sufficient to constitute the crime; that there has been to be an actual influencing of the voter's vote itself not their decision to vote or not. I still think he is --

O. Beyond --

## Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

**Brian Keith Ingram JD 208** 

208

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS			
2	SAN ANTONIO DIVISION			
3	LA UNION DEL PUEBLO § ENTERO, ET AL., §			
4	Plaintiffs, & Civil Action No.			
5	VS. § 5:21-cv-844 (XR) § (Consolidated Cases) §			
6	STATE OF TEXAS, ET AL. §			
7	Defendants. § ************************************			
8	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION			
9	ORAL DEPOSITION OF			
10	BRIAN KEITH INGRAM, J.D.			
11	APRIL 28, 2022			
12	*************			
13	I, CAROLINE CHAPMAN, Certified Shorthand			
14	Reporter in and for the State of Texas, hereby certify			
15	to the following:			
16	That the witness, BRIAN KEITH INGRAM, J.D.			
17	was duly sworn by the officer and that the transcript of			
18	the oral deposition is a true record of the testimony			
19	given by the witness;			
20	That the deposition transcript was			
21	submitted on May 2, 2022, to the witness or to the			
22	attorney for the witness for examination, signature, and			
23	return to me within 20 days;			
24	That the amount of time used by each party			
25	at the deposition is as follows:			

Entero v Texas 5:21-cv-844 (XR)

208 Brian Keith Ingram JD

## Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

**Brian Keith Ingram JD 209** 

209

1	Mr. Freeman - Two hours and fifty-five				
2	minutes.				
3	Mr. Kanterman - One hour and twenty-two				
4	minutes.				
5	That pursuant to information given to the				
6	deposition officer at the time said testimony was taken,				
7	the appearance page includes all parties of record.				
8	I further certify that I am neither				
9	counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the				
10	parties or attorneys in the action in which this				
11	proceeding was taken, and further that I am not				
12	financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of				
13	the action.				
14	Certified to by me on May 2, 2022.				
15					
16	CAROLINE CHAPMAN, Texas CSR 467				
17	Expiration Date: 03/31/2023				
18	Firm Registration No. 223  WORLDWIDE COURT REPORTERS  3000 Weslayan, Suite 235				
19	Houston, Texas 77027 (713) 572-2000				
20	(713) 372-2000				
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

National Court Reporters Inc. 888.800.9656 4/28/2022 Page: 209

# NATIO FATE OUTER SEPORTERS INC. Brian Keith Ingram JD 210 Serv NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC. 888.800;9656 INTERNATIONALLY 210

Case Number: Case Name:					
Deponent:					
Page Line Now Reads	Should Read	Remove Therefore			
Date:					
Signature of the Deponent:					

NCRNETWORK@NationalCourtReporters.com

Entero v Texas 5:21-cv-844 (XR)
National Court Reporters Inc. Soc. 806.80 National Court Reporters.com

National Court Reporters Inc. 888.800.9656

4/28/2022

ncrnetwork@nationalcourtreporters.com

Page: 210

Page: 210